

I am horrified by such behaviour. As much as I may dislike one candidate over another, or one point of view over another, it is crucial that our air waves stay impartial. Shame on you for using your power in such a radical manner. Ethics, Morals, Public Interest, do these terms mean nothing to anyone anymore? Is this the outcome of allowing broadcasting corporations to merge, making mega media centers that will try to control our minds? If so, my TV and radios will just be destroyed and I will personally pursue a campaign against the media. You better not put out a smear campaign against any individual, Bush or Kerry or any other person running for office at this time, shame on you for even thinking about it!

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.